	ed States Patent	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,063	07/12/2001	Helmut Burklin	PF 980079	3649
Joseph S Tripol Thomson Multi	7590 03/05/2009 li media Licensing Inc	EXAMINER NGUYEN, TU X		
CN 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-0028			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/856,063	BURKLIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TU X. NGUYEN	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_					
	– action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•••	ζ, γ				
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 a) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are not

persuasive.

In response to Applicant argue Saito doesn't disclose any modeling of a wireless bridge,

the Examiner disagrees, Saito discloses

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (US Pub.

2002/0012358) in view of Saito et al. (US Patent 6751221).

Regarding claim 13, Sato discloses a method for managing isochronous resources in a

communication network comprising more than two communication buses linked by way of a wireless

transmission bridge, the bridge comprising for each bus a portal connected to this bus, each portal

being provided with wireless communication means (see fig.1, par.025, 043-045), the method

comprising the steps of: providing a global register of passband availability for the set of wireless links

of the wireless bridge; reserving passband with the global register for each wireless link participating in

a communication between two portals.

Application/Control Number: 09/856,063 Art Unit: 2618

Sato fails to disclose providing a global register of passband availability for the set of wireless links of the wireless bridge; reserving passband with the global register for each wireless link participating in a communication between two portals.

Saito et al. disclose providing a global register of passband availability for the set of wireless links of the wireless bridge (see col.12 lines 61-67, col.58 lines 1-13, col.65 lines 27-50);

reserving passband with the global register for each wireless link participating in a communication between two portals (col.4 lines 3-9).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Sato with the above teaching of Saito et al. in order to provide communication device carrying out IP multicast by utilizing communication resource efficiently and enabling recognition of correspondence between reserved channel and IP multicast address by a transmitting side and a receiving side in synchronization in a network of broadcast type such as IEEE 1394.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7883.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/856,063 Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tu X Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 8/21/08